

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

FERNANDO NAVARRO,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-CV-00282-ART-CLB

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

[ECF No. 23]

10 Before the Court is Defendants' motion for an extension of time to allow Plaintiff
11 time to respond to previously propounded discovery. (ECF No. 23.) Defendants state in
12 their motion that they served discovery on Plaintiff, but it was returned because he was
13 paroled. (*Id.*) Defendants are requesting an extension of time *only* for Plaintiff to respond
14 discovery propounded upon him and do not seek further discovery extensions. (*Id.*) The
15 Court also notes Defendants filed a notice alerting the Court that Plaintiff did not change
16 his address. (ECF No. 22.)

17 Defendants' motion for an extension of time is **DENIED**. (ECF No. 23.) All
18 deadlines in this case are hereby **STAYED** until July 8, 2022. Pursuant to Local Rule IA
19 3-1, Plaintiff must immediately file with the Court written notification of any change of
20 mailing address. Failure to comply with this rule may result in dismissal of this action.
21 Plaintiff shall have until **Friday, July 8, 2022** to file a notice of change of address or this
22 Court will recommend that this action be dismissed. If Plaintiff files a notice of change of
23 address, this Court will issue an amended scheduling order reopening discovery for all
24 purposes.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 | DATED: June 8, 2022

Daldin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE